

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/587,148	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HAGFORS ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> ANNA MOMPER	<b>Art Unit</b> 3657	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ANNA MOMPER.

(3) RANDI ISAACS.

(2) BRAD KING.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 05 May 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Phely (US 2004/0029669 A1) and Kawashima et al. (US 5,624,338).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: agreed that the prior art (Phely and Kawashima et al.) have the yarns oriented in directions reverse of that of the invention. discussed features relating to the orientation which appear to define over the rejections of record.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Bradley T King/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3657